

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10

11
12 IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE ANTITRUST
13 LITIGATION
14
15
16

CASE NO. 3:10-md-2143 RS

**ORDER DENYING INDIRECT
PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
STRIKE FTAIA ARGUMENT AND
GRANTING ALTERNATIVE MOTION
TO FILE OPPOSITION BRIEF**

17 In the midst of extensive, well-crafted briefing by all parties on important questions in this
18 significant case, this Court has been confronted with a silly back and forth regarding who, if anyone,
19 violated the letter and spirit of court-imposed page limits in this matter. Ironically, this dispute
20 arises regarding briefing on the FTAIA, a federal statute about which this Court has already received
21 a veritable Mount Everest of written legal argument. The quaint notion animating the parties to this
22 dispute is that each additional page afforded them will measurably advance that party's cause. Of
23 course, the contrary is often the true path, that less is more in gauging the effectiveness of legal
24 argument, both written and oral. That said, enough ink has surely been spilled on the issue of the
25 FTAIA in this action. Accordingly, the IPP's motion to strike will be denied, their alternative
26 motion to file the standalone brief attached as Exhibit A to the motion will be granted, and
27 defendants' request to file a further reply will be denied.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 11, 2017



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE